arXiv:math/0503245v1 [math.AG] 13 Mar 2005

On the Hodge structure of degenerating hypersurfaces in toric varieties

Atsushi Ikeda

Abstract

We introduce an algebraic method for describing the Hodge filtration of degenerating hypersurfaces in projective toric varieties. For this purpose, we show some fundamental properties of logarithmic differential forms on proper equivariant morphisms of toric varieties.

1 Introduction

The method for describing Hodge filtration by using polynomials originated in the study of hypersurfaces in projective spaces by Griffiths [7]. The theory is extended to the case on hypersurfaces in simplicial projective toric varieties by Dolgachev [5], Steenbrink [13], Batyrev and Cox [1]. The purpose of this paper is to apply their idea to degenerating families of hypersurfaces in projective toric varieties.

Let $\pi : \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{A}$ be a proper surjective equivariant morphism of toric varieties over an algebraically closed field k. Here we assume for simplicity that $\mathbf{P}$ is nonsingular, $\mathbf{A}$ is an affine space $\mathbf{A} = \operatorname{Spec} k[t_1, \dots, t_m]$, and the characteristic of k is 0. Let X be a hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}$. In the case when π is flat and geometrically connected, π gives a trivial fibration of a nonsingular complete toric variety over the open torus of $\mathbf{A}$, and degenerated fibers appear at the outside of the open torus. Hence we can consider $X \to \mathbf{A}$ to be a degenerating family of hypersurfaces in the complete toric variety. We define a Jacobian ring for the family, and describe the Hodge filtration of the family by using the Jacobian ring.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14D06, 14J70, 14M25.

We denote by $D_1, \ldots, D_s$ all prime divisors invariant under the torus action on $\mathbf{P}$. The homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbf{P}$ is defined in [2] as a polynomial ring $S_{\mathbf{P}} = k[z_1, \cdots, z_s]$ which has a grading valued in the divisor class group $\mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P})$;

$$\deg z_i = [D_i] \in \mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P}).$$

We can assume that $\pi(D_i) = \mathbf{A}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $\pi(D_j)$ is contained in the divisor $\{t_1 \cdots t_m = 0\}$ for $r+1 \leq j \leq s$. The hypersurface X is defined by a $\mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P})$-homogeneous polynomial $F \in S_{\mathbf{P}}$. Then we define the Jacobian ring of X over $\mathbf{A}$ by

$$R_{X/\mathbf{A}} = S_{\mathbf{P}}/(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_r}),$$

which is a $\mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P})$-graded $k[t_1, \ldots, t_m]$-algebra. For $\beta \in \mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P})$, the degree β part of $R_{X/\mathbf{A}}$ is denoted by $R^{\beta}_{X/\mathbf{A}}$, which is a finitely generated $k[t_1, \ldots, t_m]$-module.

The Hodge filtration of the degenerating family is defined by using the sheaf of relative logarithmic differential forms, so we consider the situation above with logarithmic structure [9]. We define a logarithmic structure on $\mathbf{P}$ by the divisor $E = \sum_{j=r+1}^{s} D_j$, and define a logarithmic structure on $\mathbf{A}$ by the divisor $\{t_1 \cdots t_m = 0\}$. Then π is a logarithmically smooth morphism, and the logarithmic structure of the general fiber is trivial. The sheaf of relative logarithmic differential p-forms is denoted by $\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}$, which is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}$-modules. We define a logarithmic structure on X by the restriction of the logarithmic structure on $\mathbf{P}$. The next theorem is our main result, where we need not assume that π is flat and geometrically connected.

Theorem 1 (Theorem 4.4). *If X is ample and logarithmic smooth over an affine open subvariety $U = \mathrm{Spec}\, A_U$ of $\mathbf{A}$, then for $0 \leq p \leq n-1$, there is a natural isomorphism of A_U-modules*

$$H^{n-p-1}(\mathbf{P}_U, \omega^{p+1}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log X)) \simeq A_U \otimes_{k[t_1,\ldots,t_m]} R^{[(n-p)X-D]}_{X/\mathbf{A}},$$

where $n = \dim \mathbf{P} - \dim \mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{P}_U = U \times_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{P}$ and $D = \sum_{i=1}^{r} D_i$.

If $m = 0$, then $\mathbf{P}$ is a nonsingular complete variety, and the logarithmic structure on $\mathbf{P}$ is trivial. For an ample smooth hypersurface X in $\mathbf{P}$, the isomorphism in Theorem 1 is

$$H^{n-p-1}(\mathbf{P}, \varOmega^{p+1}_{\mathbf{P}}(\log X)) \simeq R^{[(n-p)X-D]}_X.$$

This is proved in [1], so Theorem 1 is a generalization of the result by Batyrev and Cox.

In the case when $\mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{A}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^n$ is the blowing up along a point, and π is defined by the composition with the first projection to $\mathbf{A}^1$, the logarithmically smooth family $X_U \to U$ is a semistable degeneration of hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{P}^n$. This example is studied by Saito in [12], which is the first work for describing the Hodge filtration of degenerating hypersurfaces by using the Jacobian rings.

The key of the proof of Theorem 1 is the following two fundamental property for the sheaf of relative logarithmic differential forms on $\mathbf{P}$. The first property is a generalization of the Bott vanishing theorem:

Theorem 2 (Corollary 3.8). *If $\mathcal{L}$ is an ample invertible sheaf on $\mathbf{P}$, then for $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$,*

$$H^q(\mathbf{P}, \omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}} \mathcal{L}) = 0.$$

The second property is a generalization of the Euler exact sequence:

Theorem 3 (Theorem 3.11). *There is an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}$-modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega^1_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}(-D_i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P} \otimes_\mathbf{Z} \mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P} \setminus E) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We prove Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 by using the Poincaré residue map for the sheaf of relative logarithmic differential forms, that is the idea of Batyrev and Cox [1].

This paper proceed as follows. In Section 2, we consider invertible sheaves on a toric variety with a proper equivariant morphism to an affine toric variety, and we give a characterization of base point freeness or ampleness of the linear system by the terminology of the support function on the fan. In the case for invertible sheaves on a complete toric variety, this is a well-known fact. In Section 3, we introduce the logarithmic differential forms on a simplicial toric variety with an equivariant morphism to an affine toric variety. Under some assumption for the singularity of the simplicial toric variety, that is caused by the characteristic of the base field, we construct the Poincaré residue map for the sheaf of relative logarithmic differential forms. Using the Poincaré residue map, we prove the Bott vanishing theorem and Euler exact sequence. In Section 4, we consider hypersurfaces in a nonsingular toric variety with a logarithmically smooth proper equivariant morphism to an affine toric variety. We define the Jacobian rings for hypersurfaces over the affine toric variety, and prove the main result for describing the cohomology of the sheaf of relative logarithmic differential forms by the Jacobian rings.

2 Invertible sheaves on toric varieties

First we introduce basic notation used in this paper, and then give some properties of invertible sheaves on a toric variety with a proper equivariant morphism to an affine toric variety. We refer to [6] and [11] for terminology and basic facts in toric geometry.

Let N be a finitely generated free $\mathbf{Z}$-module. We denote by $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ the $\mathbf{R}$-vector space $\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} N$, denote by M the dual $\mathbf{Z}$-module of N, and denote by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle : M_{\mathbf{R}} \times N_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R}$ the canonical bilinear form. Let σ be a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$. The dual cone of σ is defined as

$$\sigma^{\vee} = \{u \in M_{\mathbf{R}} \mid \langle u, v\rangle \geq 0 \text{ for any } v \in \sigma\},$$

and we denote by $\mathbf{A}_{\sigma} = \operatorname{Spec} k[M \cap \sigma^{\vee}]$ the affine toric variety associated to σ over an algebraically closed field k. Let Σ be a finite fan of strongly convex rational polyhedral cones in $N_{\mathbf{R}}$. We denote by $|\Sigma| = \bigcup_{\sigma\in\Sigma} \sigma$ the support of Σ, and denote by $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma} = \bigcup_{\sigma\in\Sigma} \mathbf{A}_{\sigma}$ the toric variety associated to Σ over k. Then the algebraic torus $\mathbf{T}_N = \operatorname{Spec} k[M]$ is contained in $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma}$ as an open subvariety, and $\mathbf{T}_N$ acts on $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma}$ as an extension of the translation of $\mathbf{T}_N$. For $u \in M$, the corresponding character

$$\chi^{u} : \mathbf{T}_N \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{m}} = \operatorname{Spec} k[\mathbf{Z}]$$

is considered to be a rational function on $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma}$.

We denote by $\Sigma(r)$ the set of all r-dimensional cones in Σ. For $\tau \in \Sigma(r)$, we define a free $\mathbf{Z}$-module by $N_{\tau} = N/(N \cap \tau_{\mathbf{R}})$, where $\tau_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the subspace of $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ generated by τ over $\mathbf{R}$, and we define a set of subsets in $N_{\tau,\mathbf{R}}$ by

$$\Sigma_{\tau} = \{[\sigma]_{\tau} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma \text{ and } \sigma \supset \tau\},$$

where $[\sigma]_{\tau}$ is the image of σ by the natural homomorphism

$$N_{\mathbf{R}} \longrightarrow N_{\tau,\mathbf{R}};\ v \longmapsto [v]_{\tau}.$$

Then Σ_{τ} is a finite fan of strongly convex rational polyhedral cones in $N_{\tau,\mathbf{R}}$, and the associated toric variety $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_{\tau}}$ can be considered a $\mathbf{T}_N$-invariant closed subvariety of codimension r in $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$. The closed immersion $\iota_\tau : \mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_\tau} \to \mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ is induced by

$$\iota_\tau^* : k[M] \longrightarrow k[M_\tau];\ \chi^u \longmapsto \begin{cases} \chi_\tau^u & (u \in M \cap \tau^\perp), \\ 0 & (u \notin M \cap \tau^\perp), \end{cases}$$

where $\chi_\tau^u : \mathbf{T}_{N_\tau} \to \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the character corresponding to $u \in M_\tau = M \cap \tau^\perp$.

Let $B = \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} b_\rho \mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_\rho}$ be a $\mathbf{T}_N$-invariant Weil divisor on $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$. We define a convex subset of $M_\mathbf{R}$ by

$$\Delta_B = \{u \in M_\mathbf{R} \mid \langle u, v \rangle + b_\rho \geq 0 \text{ for any } v \in |\Sigma|\}.$$

Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$H^0(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(B)) \simeq \bigoplus_{u \in M \cap \Delta_B} k \cdot \chi^u.$$

Let $h : |\Sigma| \to \mathbf{R}$ be a Σ-linear support function. We define a $\mathbf{T}_N$-invariant Cartier divisor on $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ by $D_h = -\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} h(v_\rho) \mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_\rho}$, where v_ρ is the generator of the monoid $\rho \cap N$.

Let N' be another finitely generated free $\mathbf{Z}$-module, and let $\pi_* : N \to N'$ be a homomorphism of $\mathbf{Z}$-modules. We denote by $\pi_{*\mathbf{R}} : N_\mathbf{R} \to N'_\mathbf{R}$ the $\mathbf{R}$-homomorphism induced by π_*, and we denote by $\pi^* : M' \to M$ the dual homomorphism of π_*. Then π^* induces a homomorphism of algebraic tori $\pi_0 : \mathbf{T}_N \to \mathbf{T}_{N'}$. If a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone σ' in $N'_\mathbf{R}$ satisfies the condition $|\Sigma| \subset \pi_{*\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(\sigma')$, then π^* induces an equivariant morphism of toric varieties $\pi_{\Sigma,\sigma'} : \mathbf{P}_\Sigma \to \mathbf{A}_{\sigma'}$, which is an extension of π_0.

Remark 2.1. The morphism $\pi_{\Sigma,\sigma'}$ is proper if and only if $|\Sigma| = \pi_{*\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(\sigma')$.

Remark 2.2. If $\pi_{\Sigma,\sigma'}$ is a proper morphism, then $|\Sigma|$ is a convex subset in $N_\mathbf{R}$. Conversely, if $|\Sigma|$ is a convex subset in $N_\mathbf{R}$, then we can find a free $\mathbf{Z}$-module N', a surjective homomorphism $\pi_* : N \to N'$, and a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone σ' in $N'_\mathbf{R}$ satisfying $|\Sigma| = \pi_{*\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(\sigma')$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ be a toric variety, let $\mathbf{A}_{\sigma'}$ be an affine toric variety, and let $\pi : \mathbf{P}_\Sigma \to \mathbf{A}_{\sigma'}$ be a proper equivariant morphism. For a Σ-linear support function h, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(D_h)$ *is generated by global sections;*

(2) *$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(D_h)$ is π-nef;*

(3) *h is upper convex, i.e. for any $v_1, v_2 \in |\Sigma|$,*

$$h(v_1 + v_2) \geq h(v_1) + h(v_2).$$

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let C be a complete integral curve in a fiber of π. We denote by $\widetilde{C}$ the normalization of C, and denote by ι the morphism from $\widetilde{C}$ to $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(D_h)$ is generated by global sections, $\iota^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(D_h)$ has a non-zero global section, so the intersection number is

$$(D_h.\ C) = \deg \iota^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(D_h) \geq 0.$$

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). The morphism π is defined as $\pi = \pi_{\Sigma,\sigma'}$ by a homomorphism $\pi_* : N \to N'$. We denote by N'' the image of π_*, and define a strongly convex rational polyhedral cone in $N''_{\mathbf{R}}$ by $\sigma'' = \sigma' \cap N''_{\mathbf{R}}$. Then π is factored to a proper surjective morphism $\pi_{\Sigma,\sigma''} : \mathbf{P}_\Sigma \to \mathbf{A}_{\sigma''}$, and a finite morphism $\mathbf{A}_{\sigma''} \to \mathbf{A}_{\sigma'}$. We denote by s the dimension of the convex subset

$$|\Sigma| = \pi_{*\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(\sigma') = \pi_{*\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(\sigma'') \subset N_{\mathbf{R}},$$

and define a subset of $\Sigma(s-1)$ by

$$\Sigma(\sigma'', s-1) = \{\tau \in \Sigma(s-1) \mid \pi_{*\mathbf{R}}(\tau) \cap \mathrm{Int}(\sigma'') \neq \emptyset\},$$

where $\mathrm{Int}(\sigma'')$ denotes the relative interior of σ''. For $\tau \in \Sigma(\sigma'', s-1)$, there exist exactly two cones $\sigma_+, \sigma_- \in \Sigma(s)$ containing τ. Then $\pi_{\Sigma,\sigma''} \circ \iota_\tau : \mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_\tau} \to \mathbf{A}_{\sigma''}$ gives a $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle over the closed $\mathbf{T}_{N''}$-orbit $\mathbf{T}_{N''_{\sigma''}} = \operatorname{Spec} k[M'' \cap \sigma''^{\perp}]$ in $\mathbf{A}_{\sigma''}$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_{\sigma_+}}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_{\sigma_-}}$ become the 0-section and the ∞-section of the $\mathbf{P}^1$-bundle. Let $0 \in \mathbf{T}_{N''_{\sigma''}}(k)$ be a k-rational point. We denote by $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_\tau,0}$ the fiber of $\pi_{\Sigma,\sigma''} \circ \iota_\tau$ at 0. Then $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_\tau,0}$ is a nonsingular rational curve in a fiber of π. Since $\rho_- = [\sigma_-]_\tau$ is a 1-dimensional cone in $N_{\tau,\mathbf{R}}$, for $v \in \sigma_- \setminus \tau$, a positive real number a_v is determined by

$$[v]_\tau = a_v v_{\rho_-} \in \rho_- \subset N_{\tau,\mathbf{R}} = N_{\mathbf{R}}/\tau_{\mathbf{R}}.$$

For $\sigma \in \Sigma(s)$, we denote by $u_\sigma \in M$ a linear function which coincides with h on σ. Then we have an equation

$$(D_h.\ \mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_\tau,0}) = \frac{1}{a_v}(\langle u_{\sigma_+}, v\rangle - h(v))$$

for any $v \in \sigma_- \setminus \tau$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(D_h)$ is π-nef, we have

$$\langle u_{\sigma_+}, v\rangle \geq h(v) \tag{2.1}$$

for any $v \in \sigma_-$.

Next we prove (2.1) without restriction about σ_+ and v. For $\sigma \in \Sigma(s)$ and $v \in |\Sigma|$, there exists a vector $w \in \mathrm{Int}(\sigma)$ such that

$$L(w,v) = \{(1-t)w + tv \in N_{\mathbf{R}} \mid 0 < t < 1\}$$

does not intersect with $\bigcup_{\tau\in\Sigma(s-2)}\tau$. Then $\bigcup_{\tau\in\Sigma(\sigma'',s-1)}\tau$ divides $L(w,v)$ into finite pieces, and we define vectors $w_0,\dots,w_l \in N_{\mathbf{R}}$ by

$$L(w,v)\cap \bigcup_{\tau\in\Sigma(\sigma'',s-1)} \tau = \{w_1,\dots,w_{l-1}\}$$

and

$$w_i = (1-t_i)w + t_i v \qquad (0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{l-1} < t_l = 1).$$

For $1 \leq i \leq l$, there exists a unique cone $\sigma_i \in \Sigma(s)$ such that $w_{i-1}, w_i \in \sigma_i$. We note that $w = w_0$, $v = w_l$ and $\sigma = \sigma_1$. Since

$$h(w_i) = \langle u_{\sigma_i}, w_i\rangle = \langle u_{\sigma_{i+1}}, w_i\rangle \qquad (1 \leq i \leq l-1),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle u_\sigma, v\rangle &= \langle u_{\sigma_l}, v\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1}\langle u_{\sigma_i} - u_{\sigma_{i+1}}, v\rangle \\
&= h(v) + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1}\langle u_{\sigma_i} - u_{\sigma_{i+1}}, \frac{1-t_i}{t_{i+1}-t_i}w_{i+1} + \frac{t_{i+1}-1}{t_{i+1}-t_i}, w_i\rangle \\
&= h(v) + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1}\frac{1-t_i}{t_{i+1}-t_i}(\langle u_{\sigma_i}, w_{i+1}\rangle - h(w_{i+1})).
\end{aligned}$$

By using (2.1) for $\sigma_+ = \sigma_i$ and $\sigma_- = \sigma_{i+1}$, we have

$$\langle u_{\sigma_i}, w_{i+1}\rangle \geq h(w_{i+1}) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq l-1),$$

hence

$$\langle u_\sigma, v\rangle \geq h(v) \tag{2.2}$$

for any $\sigma \in \Sigma(s)$ and $v \in |\Sigma|$.

For $v_1, v_2 \in |\Sigma|$, there is a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma(s)$ such that $v_1 + v_2 \in \sigma$. By (2.2),

$$h(v_1 + v_2) = \langle u_\sigma, v_1 + v_2 \rangle = \langle u_\sigma, v_1 \rangle + \langle u_\sigma, v_2 \rangle \geq h(v_1) + h(v_2).$$

(3) ⇒ (1). For $\sigma \in \Sigma(s)$ and $v \in |\Sigma|$, there exists $w \in \sigma$ such that $v + w$ is contained in σ. Because h is upper convex, we have

$$\langle u_\sigma, v \rangle = \langle u_\sigma, v + w \rangle - \langle u_\sigma, w \rangle = h(v + w) - h(w) \geq h(v),$$

so χ^{u_σ} gives a global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(D_h)$. Since $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma(s)} \mathbf{A}_\sigma$, and χ^{u_σ} generates $\mathit{\Gamma}(\mathbf{A}_\sigma, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(D_h))$ over $k[M \cap \sigma^\vee]$, the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(D_h)$ is generated by global sections. □

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ be a toric variety, let $\mathbf{A}_{\sigma'}$ be an affine toric variety, and let $\pi : \mathbf{P}_\Sigma \to \mathbf{A}_{\sigma'}$ be a proper equivariant morphism. For a Σ-linear support function h, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(D_h)$ is ample;*

(2) *$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(D_h)$ is π-ample;*

(3) *h is strictly upper convex, i.e. for any $v_1, v_2 \in |\Sigma|$,*

$$h(v_1 + v_2) \geq h(v_1) + h(v_2),$$

and equality holds if and only if there exists a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that $v_1, v_2 \in \sigma$.

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) is well-known for any proper morphism to an affine scheme.

(2) ⇒ (3). In the proof of Theorem 2.3 (2) ⇒ (3), if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(D_h)$ is π-ample, then $(D_h.\, \mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_\tau,0}) > 0$, hence $\langle u_{\sigma_+}, v \rangle > h(v)$ for any $v \in \sigma_- \setminus \tau$. This implies that $\langle u_\sigma, v \rangle \geq h(v)$ for any $\sigma \in \Sigma(s)$ and $v \in |\Sigma|$, and equality holds if and only if $v \in \sigma$.

For $v_1, v_2 \in |\Sigma|$, there is a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma(s)$ such that $v_1 + v_2 \in \sigma$. If

$$h(v_1 + v_2) = h(v_1) + h(v_2),$$

then

$$(\langle u_\sigma, v_1 \rangle - h(v_1)) + (\langle u_\sigma, v_2 \rangle - h(v_2)) = 0.$$

So we have $\langle u_\sigma, v_1\rangle = h(v_1)$ and $\langle u_\sigma, v_2\rangle = h(v_2)$, which mean $v_1, v_2 \in \sigma$.
(3) ⇒ (1). Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}$-module. We show that there exists a positive integer m_0 such that $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(mD_h)$ is generated by global sections for any integer $m \geq m_0$. We may assume that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(B)$ for a $\mathbf{T}_N$-invariant Weil divisor $B = \sum_{\rho\in\Sigma(1)} b_\rho \mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_\rho}$, because by [10], there exists a surjective homomorphism $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(B_i) \to \mathcal{E}$ for some $\mathbf{T}_N$-invariant Weil divisors $B_1, \ldots, B_r$.

For $\sigma \in \Sigma(s)$, we fix vectors $u_{\sigma,1}, \ldots, u_{\sigma,c_\sigma} \in M$ such that $\chi^{u_{\sigma,1}}, \ldots, \chi^{u_{\sigma,c_\sigma}}$ generate $\mathit{\Gamma}(\mathbf{A}_\sigma, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(B))$ over $k[M \cap \sigma^\vee]$. Since h is strictly upper convex, for $\sigma \in \Sigma(s)$ and $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$, we have $\langle u_\sigma, v_\rho\rangle \geq h(v_\rho)$, and if $v_\rho \notin \sigma$, then $\langle u_\sigma, v_\rho\rangle > h(v_\rho)$. And if $v_\rho \in \sigma$, then $\langle u_{\sigma,i}, v_\rho\rangle + b_\rho \geq 0$. Hence there exists a positive integer m_0 such that for any $\sigma \in \Sigma(s)$, for any $1 \leq i \leq c_\sigma$ and for any $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$,

$$m_0(\langle u_\sigma, v_\rho\rangle - h(v_\rho)) \geq -b_\rho - \langle u_{\sigma,i}, v_\rho\rangle.$$

Then we have

$$\langle u_{\sigma,i} + mu_\sigma, v_\rho\rangle \geq -b_\rho + mh(v_\rho)$$

for any $m \geq m_0$, and this means that $\chi^{u_{\sigma,i}+mu_\sigma}$ is a global section of the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(B + mD_h)$. Since $\chi^{u_{\sigma,1}+mu_\sigma}, \ldots, \chi^{u_{\sigma,c_\sigma}+mu_\sigma}$ generate $\mathit{\Gamma}(\mathbf{A}_\sigma, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(B + mD_h))$ over $k[M \cap \sigma^\vee]$, the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\Sigma}(B + mD_h)$ is generated by global sections. □

3 Log differential forms on toric varieties

We introduce the sheaf of relative logarithmic differential forms on a toric variety with an equivariant morphism to an affine toric variety.

Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ be a toric variety, let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{\sigma'}$ be an affine toric variety, and let $\pi = \pi_{\Sigma,\sigma'} : \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{A}$ be an equivariant morphism, which is given by a homomorphism $\pi_* : N \to N'$ with $|\Sigma| \subset \pi_{*\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(\sigma')$. For $\tau \in \Sigma(r)$, we denote by $\mathbf{P}_\tau$ the corresponding $\mathbf{T}_N$-invariant subvariety $\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma_\tau}$. We define a subset of $\Sigma(r)$ by

$$\Sigma_\pi(r) = \{\tau \in \Sigma(r) \mid \tau \subset \pi_{*\mathbf{R}}^{-1}(0)\}.$$

If $\tau \in \Sigma_\pi(r)$, then $\pi_\tau = \pi \circ \iota_\tau : \mathbf{P}_\tau \to \mathbf{A}$ is an equivariant morphism induced by

$$N_\tau \longrightarrow N';\ [v]_\tau \longmapsto \pi_*(v).$$

We denote by Σ^{reg} the set of all nonsingular cones in Σ, and denote by $j : \mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}} = \mathbf{P}_{\Sigma^{\mathrm{reg}}} \to \mathbf{P}$ the natural open immersion. We define $\mathbf{T}_N$-invariant divisors on $\mathbf{P}$ by

$$\begin{cases} D = \displaystyle\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1)} \mathbf{P}_\rho, \\ E = \displaystyle\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1) \smallsetminus \Sigma_\pi(1)} \mathbf{P}_\rho, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

which are divisors with normal crossing on $\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}$.

Let σ be a cone in $\Sigma^{\mathrm{reg}}(c)$, and let $(v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ be a $\mathbf{Z}$-basis of N with

$$\begin{cases} \sigma = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} v_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} v_c, \\ v_1, \ldots, v_l \in \pi_*^{-1}(0), \ v_{l+1}, \ldots, v_c \notin \pi_*^{-1}(0). \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_\sigma &= \operatorname{Spec} k[M \cap \sigma^\vee] = \operatorname{Spec} k[x_1, \ldots, x_c, x_{c+1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_d^{\pm 1}], \\ \mathbf{A}_\sigma \cap D &= \operatorname{Spec} k[x_1, \ldots, x_c, x_{c+1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_d^{\pm 1}]/(x_1 \cdots x_l), \\ \mathbf{A}_\sigma \cap E &= \operatorname{Spec} k[x_1, \ldots, x_c, x_{c+1}^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_d^{\pm 1}]/(x_{l+1} \cdots x_c), \end{aligned}$$

where $x_i = \chi^{u_i}$ for the dual basis $(u_1, \ldots, u_d)$ of $(v_1, \ldots, v_d)$. We define a free $k[M \cap \sigma^\vee]$-module by

$$\omega^1_{\mathbf{A}_\sigma} = \Omega^1_{\mathbf{A}_\sigma}(\log E) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l} k[M \cap \sigma^\vee] dx_j \oplus \bigoplus_{j=l+1}^{d} k[M \cap \sigma^\vee] \frac{dx_j}{x_j},$$

which is naturally contained in the free $k[M \cap \sigma^\vee]$-module

$$\omega^1_{\mathbf{A}_\sigma}(\log D) = \Omega^1_{\mathbf{A}_\sigma}(\log D \cup E) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d} k[M \cap \sigma^\vee] \frac{dx_j}{x_j}.$$

We denote by $\omega^1_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \subset \omega^1_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}}(\log D)$ the sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$-modules defined by $k[M \cap \sigma^\vee]$-modules $\omega^1_{\mathbf{A}_\sigma} \subset \omega^1_{\mathbf{A}_\sigma}(\log D)$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathrm{reg}}$. Then we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M \simeq \omega^1_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}}(\log D)$ by

$$k[M \cap \sigma^\vee] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M \simeq \omega^1_{\mathbf{A}_\sigma}(\log D); \ 1 \otimes u_j \longleftrightarrow \frac{dx_j}{x_j}.$$

We denote by $\omega^1_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D)$ the cokernel of the homomorphism

$$\pi^*_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M' \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M \simeq \omega^1_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}}(\log D).$$

Since the image of $\pi^*_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}}}$ is contained in $\omega^1_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$, we denote by $\omega^1_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathbf{A}}$ the cokernel of $\pi^*_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M' \to \omega^1_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$. We define a coherent sheaf on $\mathbf{P}$ by $\widetilde{\omega}^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}} = j_*(\bigwedge^p \omega^1_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathbf{A}})$, which is a submodule of the free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}$-module

$$\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) = j_*\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}} \otimes_k \bigwedge^p M_{\pi,k},$$

where $M_{\pi,k}$ denotes the cokernel of $\pi^*_k : k \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M' \to k \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M$. The sheaf $\widetilde{\omega}^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}$ is the sheaf of relative logarithmic differential p-forms of Zariski. In the paper [1], it is simply denoted by $\Omega^p_{\mathbf{P}}$ for the case $\mathbf{A} = \operatorname{Spec} k$.

Remark 3.1. The shaves $\omega^1_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathbf{A}}$ and $\omega^1_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D)$ are interpreted as sheaves of relative logarithmic differential forms in the sense of logarithmic geometry [9]. If we consider $\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ with the logarithmic structure $\mathcal{N}^E_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ defined by the divisor E with normal crossing, and consider $\mathbf{A}$ with the canonical logarithmic structure $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathbf{A}}$ as a toric variety, then the sheaf $\omega^1_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathbf{A}}$ is the sheaf of differential forms on $(\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}, \mathcal{N}^E_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}})$ over $(\mathbf{A}, \mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathbf{A}})$. If we consider $\mathbf{P}$ with the canonical logarithmic structure $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathbf{P}}$ as a toric variety, then the sheaf $\omega^1_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D)$ is the sheaf of differential forms on $(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathbf{P}})$ over $(\mathbf{A}, \mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{can}}_{\mathbf{A}})$.

We describe sections of the sheaf $\widetilde{\omega}^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}$ by the following explicit way, that is the idea in [3]. For $u \in M$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we define a subset of $\Sigma_\pi(1)$ by

$$\sigma_{\pi,u=0}(1) = \{\rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1) \mid \langle u, v_\rho \rangle = 0 \text{ and } \rho \subset \sigma\},$$

and define a k-subspace of $M_{\pi,k}$ by

$$H_{\sigma,u} = \{w \in M_{\pi,k} \mid \langle w, 1 \otimes v_\rho \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } \rho \in \sigma_{\pi,u=0}(1)\}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *For $\sigma \in \Sigma$, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathit{\Gamma}(\mathbf{A}_\sigma, \widetilde{\omega}^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{u \in M \cap \sigma^\vee} \bigwedge^p H_{\sigma,u} \cdot \chi^u.$$

Proof. The isomorphism is induced from the natural isomorphism

$$\mathit{\Gamma}(\mathbf{A}_\sigma, \omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D)) \simeq \mathit{\Gamma}(\mathbf{A}_\sigma, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}) \otimes_k \bigwedge^p M_{\pi,k} \simeq \bigoplus_{u \in M \cap \sigma^\vee} \bigwedge^p M_{\pi,k} \cdot \chi^u.$$

First we assume that $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathrm{reg}}$. We use a $\mathbf{Z}$-basis $(v_1, \ldots, v_d)$ of N satisfying (3.2) and the dual basis $(u_1, \ldots, u_d)$ of M. There exists a k-basis $(w_1, \ldots, w_n)$

of $M_{\pi,k}$ such that for $1 \leq i \leq l$, the vector w_i is the image of $1 \otimes u_i$ by the natural homomorphism $k \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M \to M_{\pi,k}$, and $\mathit{\Gamma}(\mathbf{A}_\sigma, \omega^1_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathbf{A}})$ corresponds to the $k[M \cap \sigma^\vee]$-submodule $H_\sigma \subset \bigoplus_{u \in M \cap \sigma^\vee} M_{\pi,k} \cdot \chi^u$ generated by

$$w_1\chi^{u_1}, \dots, w_l\chi^{u_l}, w_{l+1}\chi^0, \dots, w_n\chi^0.$$

For a subset $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $i_1 < \cdots < i_p$, we define an element in M by $u_I = \sum_{i \in I \cap \{1,\dots,l\}} u_i$, and define a vector in $\bigwedge^p M_{\pi,k}$ by

$$w_I = w_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{i_p}. \tag{3.3}$$

Then $(w_I;\ |I| = p)$ is a k-basis of $\bigwedge^p M_{\pi,k}$, and $(w_I\chi^{u_I};\ |I| = p)$ is a $k[M \cap \sigma^\vee]$-basis of $\bigwedge^p H_\sigma$. For $u \in M \cap \sigma^\vee$, we define a subset of $\{1, \dots, l\}$ by

$$J_u = \{j \in \{1, \dots, l\} \mid \langle u, v_j \rangle = 0\}.$$

Then $(w_I;\ |I| = p,\ I \cap J_u = \emptyset)$ is a k-basis of $\bigwedge^p H_{\sigma,u}$. If

$$\omega = \sum_{u \in M \cap \sigma^\vee} \sum_{|I|=p} a_{u,I} w_I \chi^u \in \bigoplus_{u \in M \cap \sigma^\vee} \bigwedge^p M_{\pi,k} \cdot \chi^u \qquad (a_{u,I} \in k)$$

is contained in $\bigwedge^p H_\sigma$, then $\omega = \sum_{I=|p|} (\sum_{u \in M \cap \sigma^\vee} b_{I,u}\chi^u) w_I \chi^{u_I}$ for some $b_{I,u} \in k$, so we have

$$a_{u,I} = \begin{cases} b_{I,u-u_I} & (u - u_I \in \sigma^\vee) \\ 0 & (u - u_I \notin \sigma^\vee). \end{cases}$$

If $u - u_I \in \sigma^\vee$, then $I \cap J_u = \emptyset$, hence $\sum_{|I|=p} a_{u,I} w_I \in \bigwedge^p H_{\sigma,u}$. Conversely, if $I \cap J_u = \emptyset$, then we have $u - u_I \in \sigma^\vee$, hence

$$w_I \chi^u = \chi^{u-u_I}(w_I \chi^{u_I}) \in \bigwedge^p H_\sigma.$$

So $\bigwedge^p H_\sigma$ coincides with $\bigoplus_{u \in M \cap \sigma^\vee} \bigwedge^p H_{\sigma,u} \cdot \chi^u$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathrm{reg}}$.

If $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is not a nonsingular cone, then we have

$$\mathit{\Gamma}(\mathbf{A}_\sigma, \widetilde{\omega}^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}) = \mathit{\Gamma}(\mathbf{A}_\sigma \cap \mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}, \omega^p_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathbf{A}}) = \bigcap_{\tau \in \sigma^{\mathrm{reg}}} \mathit{\Gamma}(\mathbf{A}_\tau, \omega^p_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathbf{A}}),$$

where σ^{reg} denotes the set of all nonsingular faces of σ. Since $\sigma^\vee = \bigcap_{\tau \in \sigma^{\mathrm{reg}}} \tau^\vee$, and $\bigwedge^p H_{\sigma,u} = \bigcap_{\tau \in \sigma^{\mathrm{reg}}} \bigwedge^p H_{\tau,u}$ for $u \in \sigma^\vee$, the isomorphism in Lemma 3.2 is proved for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$. $\square$

Next we define the Poincaré residue map for the sheaf $\widetilde{\omega}^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}$. For this purpose, we need some assumptions for the fan Σ. For $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we define a positive integer by

$$m(\sigma) = \mathrm{ord}\,(N \cap \sigma_{\mathbf{R}} / \sum_{\rho \in \sigma(1)} \mathbf{Z} v_\rho),$$

and define a positive integer by $m(\Sigma) = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} m(\sigma)$. We assume that the fan Σ is simplicial, and assume that $m(\Sigma)$ is prime to the characteristic of k. For $\tau \in \Sigma_\pi(r)$, we define a k-homomorphism by

$$\phi_\tau : \bigwedge^r M_{\pi,k} \longrightarrow k;\ \eta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta_r \longmapsto \det\,(\langle \eta_i, 1 \otimes v_j \rangle)_{1 \le i \le r, 1 \le j \le r},$$

where we fix an ordering

$$\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\} = \{v_\rho \in N \mid \rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1) \text{ and } \rho \subset \tau\}.$$

The homomorphism ϕ_τ is depend on the ordering, but it is determined modulo ± 1. For $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\tau \in \Sigma_\pi(r)$ with $\tau \subset \sigma$, we define a homomorphism by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\sigma,\tau} : \bigwedge^r M_{\pi,k} \otimes_k \bigoplus_{u \in M \cap \sigma^\vee} \bigwedge^{p-r} H_{\sigma,u} \cdot \chi^u \quad &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{u \in M \cap \sigma^\vee \cap \tau^\perp} \bigwedge^{p-r} H_{\sigma,u} \cdot \chi^u_\tau; \\ \eta \otimes w\chi^u \quad &\longmapsto \begin{cases} \phi_\tau(\eta) w \chi^u_\tau & (u \in \tau^\perp), \\ 0 & (u \notin \tau^\perp). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since $m(\Sigma)$ is prime to the characteristic of k, for $u \in M \cap \sigma^\vee \cap \tau^\perp \simeq M_\tau \cap [\sigma]^\vee_\tau$, there is a natural identification

$$H_{\sigma,u} \simeq \{w \in (M_\tau)_{\pi_\tau,k} \mid \langle w, 1 \otimes v_\rho \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } \rho \in ([\sigma]_\tau)_{\pi_\tau, u=0}(1)\},$$

so $\Phi_{\sigma,\tau}$ gives a homomorphism

$$\mathit{\Gamma}(\mathbf{A}_\sigma, \omega^r_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}} \widetilde{\omega}^{p-r}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}) \longrightarrow \mathit{\Gamma}(\mathbf{A}_\sigma \cap \mathbf{P}_\tau, \widetilde{\omega}^{p-r}_{\mathbf{P}_\tau/\mathbf{A}}).$$

We denote by

$$\Phi_\tau : \omega^r_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}} \widetilde{\omega}^{p-r}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}} \longrightarrow \iota_{\tau *} \widetilde{\omega}^{p-r}_{\mathbf{P}_\tau/\mathbf{A}}$$

the homomorphism of sheaves defined by $\Phi_{\sigma,\tau}$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma$, and define a homomorphism by

$$\Phi = \bigoplus_{\tau\in\Sigma_\pi(r)} \Phi_\tau : \omega^r_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}} \widetilde{\omega}^{p-r}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\tau\in\Sigma_\pi(r)} \iota_{\tau*}\widetilde{\omega}^{p-r}_{\mathbf{P}_\tau/\mathbf{A}}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *The kernel of the natural homomorphism*

$$\omega^r_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}} \widetilde{\omega}^{p-r}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}} \longrightarrow \omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D);\ \eta \otimes w \longmapsto \eta \wedge w$$

is contained in the kernel of Φ.

Proof. Let σ be a cone in Σ, let u be an element in $M \cap \sigma^\vee$, and let $(w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ be a k-basis of $M_{\pi,k}$ such that $(w_1, \ldots, w_s)$ is a k-basis of $H_{\sigma,u}$. For $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|I| = r$, and for $J \subset \{1, \ldots, s\}$ with $|J| = p - r$, vectors $w_I \in \bigwedge^r M_{\pi,k}$ and $w_J \in \bigwedge^{p-r} H_{\sigma,u}$ are defined by the same way as (3.3). If

$$w = \sum_{|I|=r} \sum_{|J|=p-r} a_{I,J} w_I \otimes w_J \qquad (a_{I,J} \in k)$$

is contained in the kernel of the natural homomorphism

$$\bigwedge^{r} M_{\pi,k} \otimes_k \bigwedge^{p-r} H_{\sigma,u} \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{p} M_{\pi,k},$$

then for $L \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|L| = p$, we have

$$\sum_{I\cup J=L} \operatorname{sgn}(I, J) a_{I,J} = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

where $\operatorname{sgn}(I, J)$ is defined by $w_I \wedge w_J = \operatorname{sgn}(I, J) w_L$. For $\tau \in \Sigma_\pi(r)$ with $\tau \subset \sigma$, we have to prove $\Phi_{\sigma,\tau}(w\chi^u) = 0$. In the case $u \notin \tau^\perp$, it is clear, so we assume that $u \in \tau^\perp$. Let $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be a subset satisfying $|I| = r$ and $\phi_\tau(w_I) \neq 0$. Then we have $I \cap \{1, \ldots, s\} = \emptyset$, because $\langle w_i, 1 \otimes v\rangle = 0$ for $1 \le i \le s$ and $v \in N \cap \tau_\mathbf{R}$. By (3.4), we have $a_{I,J} = 0$ for any $J \subset \{1, \ldots, s\}$, hence

$$\Phi_{\sigma,\tau}(w\chi^u) = \sum_{|J|=p-r} \sum_{|I|=r} a_{I,J} \phi_\tau(w_I) w_J \chi^u_\tau = 0.$$

□

We denote by $W_r\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D)$ the image of the natural homomorphism $\omega^r_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}} \widetilde{\omega}^{p-r}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}} \to \omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D)$. By Lemma 3.3, the homomorphism Φ induces a homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Res} : W_r\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\tau\in\Sigma_\pi(r)} \iota_{\tau *}\widetilde{\omega}^{p-r}_{\mathbf{P}_\tau/\mathbf{A}},$$

which is called Poincaré residue map. The Poincaré residue map has the following fundamental property like the case on $\varOmega^p_\mathbf{P}$ by [4] or [3].

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an affine toric variety, let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ be a simplicial toric variety such that $m(\Sigma)$ is prime to the characteristic of k, and let $\pi : \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{A}$ be an equivariant morphism. There is an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}$-modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow W_{r-1}\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \longrightarrow W_r\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} \bigoplus_{\tau\in\Sigma_\pi(r)} \iota_{\tau *}\widetilde{\omega}^{p-r}_{\mathbf{P}_\tau/\mathbf{A}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We check this on the affine coordinate $\mathbf{A}_\sigma$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma$. First we prove that the Poincaré residue map is surjective. Let $\tau \subset \sigma$ be a cone in $\Sigma_\pi(r)$ and let $v_1, \dots, v_c \in N$ be satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\{v_1, \dots, v_c\} &= \{v_\rho \mid \rho \in \Sigma(1) \text{ and } \rho \subset \sigma\},\\ \{v_1, \dots, v_l\} &= \{v_\rho \mid \rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1) \text{ and } \rho \subset \sigma\},\\ \{v_1, \dots, v_r\} &= \{v_\rho \mid \rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1) \text{ and } \rho \subset \tau\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $m(\sigma)$ is prime to the characteristic of k, the vectors $1\otimes v_1, \dots, 1\otimes v_l$ are linearly independent in $N_{\pi,k}$, where $N_{\pi,k}$ denotes the kernel of $\pi_{*k} : k \otimes_\mathbf{Z} N \to k \otimes_\mathbf{Z} N'$. Then there exists a k-basis $(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ of $M_{\pi,k}$ such that

$$\langle w_i, 1 \otimes v_j\rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & (i = j),\\ 0 & (i \neq j)\end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq l$. For a subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, l\}$ with $|I| = r$, we define a cone by $\tau_I = \sum_{i\in I} \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} v_i \in \Sigma_\pi(r)$. Then we have

$$\phi_{\tau_I}(\eta) = \begin{cases} 1 & (I = \{1, \dots, r\}),\\ 0 & (I \neq \{1, \dots, r\}),\end{cases}$$

where $\eta = w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_r$. For $u \in M \cap \sigma \cap \tau^\perp$ and $w \in \bigwedge^{r-p} H_{\sigma,u}$, we have $w\chi^u_\tau = \mathrm{Res}\,(\eta \wedge w\chi^u)$.

Next we show that $W_{r-1}\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D)$ is contained in the kernel of the Poincaré residue map. For $u \in M \cap \sigma^\vee$, we define a subset of $\{1, \ldots, l\}$ by

$$J_u = \{j \in \{1, \ldots, l\} \mid \langle u, v_j \rangle = 0\}.$$

Then $(w_J;\ |J| = q,\ J \cap J_u = \emptyset)$ is a k-basis of $\bigwedge^q H_{\sigma,u}$. For $L \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|L| = r-1$, and for $J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $|J| = p-r+1$ and $J \cap J_u = \emptyset$, we have

$$\mathrm{Res}\,(w_L \wedge w_J\chi^u) = \begin{cases} \Phi(w_L \wedge w_{j_1} \otimes w_{J\smallsetminus\{j_1\}}\chi^u) & (L \cap J = \emptyset), \\ 0 & (L \cap J \neq \emptyset), \end{cases}$$

where j_1 is the smallest integer in J. For $I \subset \{1, \ldots, l\}$ with $|I| = r$, if $u \in \tau_I^\perp$, then $\phi_{\tau_I}(w_L \wedge w_{j_1}) = 0$, so we have $\mathrm{Res}\,(w_L \wedge w_J\chi^u) = 0$.

Finally we assume that

$$\sum_{|I|=r} \sum_{|J|=p-r} a_{I,J} w_I \otimes w_J \chi^u \qquad (a_{I,J} \in k)$$

is contained in the kernel of Φ. For $L \subset \{1, \ldots, l\}$ with $|L| = r$, if $L \subset J_u$, then $u \in \tau_L^\perp$, hence

$$a_{L,J} = \phi_{\tau_L}(\sum_{|I|=r} a_{I,J} w_I) = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|I|=r} \sum_{|J|=p-r} a_{I,J} w_I \wedge w_J &= \sum_{I \not\subset J_u} \sum_{|J|=p-r} a_{I,J} w_I \wedge w_J \\ &= \sum_{I \not\subset J_u} \mathrm{sgn}\,(I \smallsetminus \{i\}, i) \sum_{|J|=p-r} a_{I,J} w_{I\smallsetminus\{i\}} \wedge w_i \wedge w_J, \end{aligned}$$

where we take $i \in I \smallsetminus J_u$ for each $I \not\subset J_u$. Since $(J \cup \{i\}) \cap J_u = \emptyset$, this is contained in $\mathit{\Gamma}(\mathbf{A}_\sigma, W_{r-1}\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D))$. $\square$

Proposition 3.5. *If $\pi : \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{A}$ is proper, then for any ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathbf{P}$, the global Poincaré residue map*

$$H^0(\mathbf{P}, W_r\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/S}(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}} \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_\pi(r)} H^0(\mathbf{P}_\tau, \widetilde{\omega}^{p-r}_{\mathbf{P}_\tau/\mathbf{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\tau}} \iota_\tau^* \mathcal{L})$$

is surjective.

Proof. There is a Σ-linear support function h such that $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(D_h)$. We define a subset of $\Sigma_\pi(1)$ by

$$\Sigma_{\pi,u=h}(1) = \{\rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1) \mid \langle u, v_\rho \rangle = h(v_\rho)\},$$

and define a k-subspace of $M_{\pi,k}$ by

$$H_{u,h} = \{w \in M_{\pi,\mathbf{R}} \mid \langle w, 1 \otimes v_\rho \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } \rho \in \Sigma_{\pi,u=h}(1)\}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, there is a natural isomorphism

$$H^0(\mathbf{P}, \widetilde{\omega}^{p-r}_{\mathbf{P}/S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(D_h)) \simeq \bigoplus_{u \in M \cap \Delta_h} \bigwedge^{p-r} H_{u,h} \cdot \chi^u,$$

where

$$\Delta_h = \Delta_{D_h} = \{u \in M_{\mathbf{R}} \mid \langle u, v \rangle \geq h(v) \text{ for any } v \in |\Sigma|\}.$$

Let τ be a cone in $\Sigma_\pi(r)$. There is a linear function $u_\tau \in M$ which coincides with h on τ. Then Σ-linear support function $h - u_\tau$ induces a Σ_τ-linear support function $h_\tau : N_{\tau,\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R}$, and there is an isomorphism $\iota_\tau^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(D_{h-u_\tau}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\tau}(D_{h_\tau})$. Since π is proper and h is upper convex, there is a natural identification

$$M \cap \Delta_{h-u_\tau} \cap \tau^\perp \simeq M_\tau \cap \Delta_{h_\tau}.$$

Since $m(\Sigma)$ is prime to the characteristic of k, for $u \in M \cap \Delta_{h-u_\tau} \cap \tau^\perp$, there is a natural identification

$$H_{u,h-u_\tau} \simeq \{w \in (M_\tau)_{\pi_\tau,u} \mid \langle w, 1 \otimes v_\rho \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } \rho \in (\Sigma_\tau)_{\pi_\tau,u=h_\tau}(1)\}.$$

By the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(D_h) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(D_{h-u_\tau});\ \chi^u \longleftrightarrow \chi^{u-u_\tau},$$

the homomorphism

$$\Phi_\tau : \mathit{\Gamma}(\mathbf{P}, \omega^r_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \otimes \widetilde{\omega}^{p-r}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(D_h)) \longrightarrow \mathit{\Gamma}(\mathbf{P}_\tau, \widetilde{\omega}^{p-r}_{\mathbf{P}_\tau/\mathbf{A}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\tau}(D_{h_\tau}))$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_\tau : \bigwedge^r M_{\pi,k} \otimes_k \bigoplus_{u \in M \cap \Delta_h} \bigwedge^{p-r} H_{u,h} \cdot \chi^u \quad &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{u \in M \cap \Delta_{h-u_\tau} \cap \tau^\perp} \bigwedge^{p-r} H_{u,h-u_\tau} \cdot \chi^u_\tau; \\
\eta \otimes w\chi^u \qquad\qquad &\longmapsto \begin{cases} \phi_\tau(\eta) w \chi_\tau^{u-u_\tau} & (u - u_\tau \in \tau^\perp), \\ 0 & (u - u_\tau \notin \tau^\perp), \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

where we remark that $H_{u,h} = H_{u-u_\tau, h-u_\tau}$. Since h is strictly upper convex, for $u \in M \cap \Delta_{h-u_\tau} \cap \tau^\perp$, there exists a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that $\sigma(1) \supset \Sigma_{\pi,u=h}(1)$. Using this cone σ, we give a k-basis $(w_1, \ldots, w_n)$ of $M_{\pi,\tau}$ in the same way as the proof of Theorem 3.4, and define a vector in $\bigwedge^r M_{\pi,k}$ by $\eta = w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_r$. Then for $w \in \bigwedge^{p-r} H_{u,h-u_\tau}$, we have $w\chi^u = \Phi(\eta \otimes w\chi^{u+u_\tau})$. □

We prove a vanishing theorem of Bott type for the cohomology of the sheaf of relative logarithmic differential forms, that is reduced to the following vanishing theorem for invertible sheaves on toric varieties. The idea is same as [1].

Theorem 3.6 ([6]). *Let $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ be a toric variety, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be an invertible sheaf on $\mathbf{P}_\Sigma$. If the support $|\Sigma|$ is convex, and $\mathcal{L}$ is generated by global sections, then for $q \geq 1$,*

$$H^q(\mathbf{P}_\Sigma, \mathcal{L}) = 0.$$

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an affine toric variety, let $\mathbf{P}$ be a simplicial toric variety such that $m(\Sigma)$ is prime to the characteristic of k, and let $\pi : \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{A}$ be a proper equivariant morphism, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be an invertible sheaf on $\mathbf{P}$.*

(1) *If $\mathcal{L}$ is generated by global sections, then for $p \geq r \geq 0$ and $q \geq p-r+1$,*

$$H^q(\mathbf{P}, W_r\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}} \mathcal{L}) = 0.$$

(2) *If $\mathcal{L}$ is ample, then for $p \geq r \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$,*

$$H^q(\mathbf{P}, W_r\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}} \mathcal{L}) = 0.$$

Proof. We prove this by induction on $p-r$. If $p-r=0$, then $W_p\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D)$ is isomorphic to the free $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}$-module $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P} \otimes_k \bigwedge^p M_{\pi,k}$. By Theorem 3.6, we have $H^q(W_p\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{L}) = 0$.

For an integer $l > 0$, we assume that Theorem 3.7 is true for $p-r < l$. Then we prove Theorem 3.7 for $p \geq r \geq 0$ with $p-r = l$. By Theorem 3.4, there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H^{q-1}(W_{r+1}\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_\pi(r+1)} H^{q-1}(\widetilde{\omega}^{p-r-1}_{\mathbf{P}_\tau/\mathbf{A}} \otimes \iota_\tau^*\mathcal{L}) \\ \longrightarrow H^q(W_r\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow H^q(W_{r+1}\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{L}). \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption for induction, we have $H^q(W_{r+1}\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D)\otimes\mathcal{L})=0$ for $q\geq p-r$, and $H^{q-1}(\widetilde{\omega}^{p-r-1}_{\mathbf{P}_\tau/\mathbf{A}}\otimes\iota^*_\tau\mathcal{L})=0$ for $q\geq p-r+1$. Hence we have $H^q(W_r\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D)\otimes\mathcal{L})=0$ for $q\geq p-r+1$.

In the case when $\mathcal{L}$ is ample, by the assumption for induction, we have $H^q(W_{r+1}\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D)\otimes\mathcal{L})=0$ for $q\geq 1$, and $H^{q-1}(\widetilde{\omega}^{p-r-1}_{\mathbf{P}_\tau/\mathbf{A}}\otimes\iota^*_\tau\mathcal{L})=0$ for $q\geq 2$. By Proposition 3.5, the homomorphism

$$H^0(W_{r+1}\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D)\otimes\mathcal{L})\longrightarrow\bigoplus_{\tau\in\Sigma_\pi(r+1)}H^0(\widetilde{\omega}^{p-r-1}_{\mathbf{P}_\tau/\mathbf{A}}\otimes\iota^*_\tau\mathcal{L})$$

is surjective. Hence we have $H^q(W_r\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D)\otimes\mathcal{L})=0$ for $q\geq 1$. □

Corollary 3.8. (1) *If $\mathcal{L}$ is generated by global sections, then for $q\geq p+1$,*

$$H^q(\mathbf{P},\widetilde{\omega}^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}}\mathcal{L})=0.$$

(2) *If $\mathcal{L}$ is ample, then for $q\geq 1$,*

$$H^q(\mathbf{P},\widetilde{\omega}^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}}\mathcal{L})=0.$$

In the rest of this section, we prove the Euler exact sequence for the sheaf of relative logarithmic differential forms. Here we introduce the notion of logarithmically smoothness.

Definition 3.9. If the rank of the locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$-module $\omega^1_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathbf{A}}$ is equal to $\dim\mathbf{P}-\dim\mathbf{A}$, then we call that π is *logarithmically smooth.*

Remark 3.10. The following conditions are equivalent:

(1) π is logarithmically smooth in the sense of Definition 3.9;

(2) $\pi\circ j:(\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}},\mathcal{N}^E_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}})\to(\mathbf{A},\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{can}}_\mathbf{A})$ is logarithmically smooth in the sense of [9];

(3) $\pi:(\mathbf{P},\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{can}}_\mathbf{P})\to(\mathbf{A},\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{can}}_\mathbf{A})$ is logarithmically smooth in the sense of [9];

(4) the cokernel of $\pi_*:N\to N'$ is finite, whose order is prime to the characteristic of k.

(5) $\pi^*:M'\to M$ is injective and the order of the torsion part of the cokernel of π^* is prime to the characteristic of k.

We denote by $\widehat{N}_\pi$ the free $\mathbf{Z}$-module generated by $\Sigma_\pi(1)$, and denote by P_π the kernel of the homomorphism

$$\psi : \widehat{N}_\pi \longrightarrow N;\ \sum_{\rho\in\Sigma_\pi(1)} b_\rho \rho \longmapsto \sum_{\rho\in\Sigma_\pi(1)} b_\rho v_\rho.$$

We identify the group of $\mathbf{T}$-invariant Weil divisors on $\mathbf{P} \setminus E$ with the dual $\mathbf{Z}$-module $\widehat{M}_\pi$ of $\widehat{N}_\pi$ by the pairing

$$\langle \sum_{\rho\in\Sigma_\pi(1)} a_\rho \mathbf{P}_\rho, \sum_{\rho\in\Sigma_\pi(1)} b_\rho \rho \rangle = \sum_{\rho\in\Sigma_\pi(1)} a_\rho b_\rho.$$

Then the divisor class group $\mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P} \setminus E)$ is naturally isomorphic to the cokernel of the dual homomorphism $\psi^* : M \longrightarrow \widehat{M}_\pi$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let* $\mathbf{A}$ *be an affine toric variety, let* $\mathbf{P}$ *be a simplicial toric variety such that* $m(\Sigma)$ *is prime to the characteristic of* k*, and let* $\pi : \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{A}$ *be a logarithmically smooth proper equivariant morphism. Then there is an exact sequence of* $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}$*-modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{\omega}^1_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}} \xrightarrow{\Psi^*} \bigoplus_{\rho\in\Sigma_\pi(1)} \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}(-\mathbf{P}_\rho) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P} \otimes_\mathbf{Z} \mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P} \setminus E) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We denote by N_π the kernel of $\pi_* : N \to N'$. Since π is proper, and $m(\Sigma)$ is prime to the characteristic of k, the cokernel of $\psi : \widehat{N}_\pi \to N_\pi$ is finite, whose order is prime to the characteristic of k. So we have an exact sequence of k-vector spaces

$$0 \longrightarrow k \otimes_\mathbf{Z} P_\pi \longrightarrow k \otimes_\mathbf{Z} \widehat{N}_\pi \xrightarrow{\psi_k} k \otimes_\mathbf{Z} N_\pi \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since π is logarithmically smooth, we have $M_{\pi,k} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_k\,(k \otimes_\mathbf{Z} N_\pi, k)$. Hence there is an exact sequence of k-vector spaces

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{\pi,k} \xrightarrow{\psi_k^*} k \otimes_\mathbf{Z} \widehat{M}_\pi \longrightarrow k \otimes_\mathbf{Z} \mathrm{Hom}_\mathbf{Z}\,(P_\pi, \mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which induce an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega^1_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \xrightarrow{\psi^*_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P}}} \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P} \otimes_\mathbf{Z} \widehat{M}_\pi \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{P} \otimes_\mathbf{Z} \mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P} \setminus E) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The exact sequence in Theorem 3.11 is shown by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & \widetilde{\omega}^1_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}} \arrow[d] & \bigoplus_{\rho\in\Sigma_\pi(1)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-\mathbf{P}_\rho) \arrow[d] & & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \omega^1_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log D) \arrow[r, "\psi^*_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Res}"'] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \widehat{M}_\pi \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P} \smallsetminus E) \arrow[r] & 0 \\
 & \bigoplus_{\rho\in\Sigma_\pi(1)} \iota_{\rho *}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\rho} \arrow[r, phantom, "="] \arrow[d] & \bigoplus_{\rho\in\Sigma_\pi(1)} \iota_{\rho *}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_\rho} \arrow[d] & & \\
 & 0 & 0, & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the left vertical sequence is proved in Theorem 3.4. ☐

4 Hypersurfaces in toric varieties

Let $\mathbf{A} = \operatorname{Spec} A$ be an affine toric variety with a torus invariant point 0, let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_\Sigma$ be a nonsingular toric variety, and let $\pi : \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{A}$ be a logarithmically smooth proper equivariant morphism. Then we remark that $\dim \mathbf{P} = \dim|\Sigma|$, and $\omega^1_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}} = \omega^1_{\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{reg}}/\mathbf{A}} = \widetilde{\omega}^1_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}$-module of rank $n = \dim \mathbf{P} - \dim \mathbf{A}$.

The homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbf{P}$ is defined in [2] as a $\mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P})$-graded polynomial ring

$$S_{\mathbf{P}} = k[z_\rho;\ \rho \in \Sigma(1)] = \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P})} S^\beta_{\mathbf{P}}$$

with

$$\deg z_\rho = [\mathbf{P}_\rho] \in \mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P}).$$

For a $\mathbf{T}_N$-invariant divisor $B = \sum_{\rho\in\Sigma(1)} b_\rho \mathbf{P}_\rho$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$S^{[B]}_{\mathbf{P}} \simeq H^0(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(B));\ \prod_{\rho\in\Sigma(1)} z_\rho^{\langle u,v_\rho\rangle + b_\rho} \leftrightarrow \chi^u. \tag{4.1}$$

By the A-module structure on $H^0(\mathbf{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(B)) = H^0(\mathbf{A}, \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(B))$, the homogeneous coordinate ring $S_{\mathbf{P}}$ has an A-algebra structure.

Let X be a hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}$. Then there is a $\mathbf{T}_N$-invariant divisor $B = \sum_{\rho\in\Sigma(1)} b_\rho \mathbf{P}_\rho$ such that

$$[X] = [B] \in \mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P}).$$

Using the isomorphism (4.1), the hypersurface X is defined by a $\mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P})$-homogeneous polynomial F, which does not depend on the choice of B modulo $k^\times$. We define the Jacobian ring of X over $\mathbf{A}$ by

$$R_{X/\mathbf{A}} = S_{\mathbf{P}}/(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_\rho};\ \rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1)),$$

which is a $\mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P})$-graded A-algebra.

Remark 4.1. If $\mathbf{A} = \operatorname{Spec} k$, then $\mathbf{P}$ is a complete toric variety, and $\Sigma_\pi(1) = \Sigma(1)$. In this case, our definition of Jacobian ring is same as [1].

We denote by $\mathcal{I}_{X/\mathbf{P}}$ the ideal sheaf of X in $\mathbf{P}$, and define a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module by

$$\omega^p_{X/\mathbf{A}} = \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathcal{I}_{X/\mathbf{P}}/\mathcal{I}^2_{X/\mathbf{P}} \otimes \omega^{p-1}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}|_X \longrightarrow \omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}|_X;\ [f]\otimes\omega \mapsto (df|_X \wedge \omega)),$$

where $d : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}} \to \omega^1_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}$ is the differential operator given by

$$d : \bigoplus_{u\in M\cap\sigma^\vee} k\cdot\chi^u \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{u\in M\cap\sigma^\vee} H_{\sigma,u}\cdot\chi^u;\ \chi^u \longmapsto [1\otimes u]\chi^u.$$

Definition 4.2. Let U be an open subset of $\mathbf{A}$. If $j_U^*\omega^1_{X/\mathbf{A}}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X_U}$-module of rank $n-1$, then we call that X is *logarithmic smooth* over U, where $j_U : X_U \to X$ denotes the open immersion $U \times_{\mathbf{A}} X \to X$.

We define a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}$-module by

$$\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log X) = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(X) \longrightarrow \omega^p_{X/\mathbf{A}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(X)|_X).$$

If X is ample in $\mathbf{P}$, then by the vanishing theorem (Corollary 3.8), we can calculate the cohomology of the sheaf $\omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log X)$, using the following resolution.

Lemma 4.3. *If X is logarithmically smooth over U, then for $0 \leq p \leq n-2$, the following sequence is exact on $\mathbf{P}_U = U \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{P}$;*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \omega^{p+1}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log X) \longrightarrow \omega^{p+1}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(X) \longrightarrow \omega^{p+2}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(2X)|_X \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \cdots \longrightarrow \omega^{n-1}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}((n-p-1)X)|_X \longrightarrow \omega^{n}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}((n-p)X)|_X \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have the long exact sequence, by connecting the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{X/\mathbf{P}}/\mathcal{I}^2_{X/\mathbf{P}} \otimes \omega^{p-1}_{X/\mathbf{A}} \longrightarrow \omega^{p}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}|_X \longrightarrow \omega^{p}_{X/\mathbf{A}} \longrightarrow 0$$

on $\mathbf{P}_U$. ☐

The following is the main theorem in this paper, which describe the cohomology of the sheaf of relative logarithmic forms by using the Jacobian ring.

Theorem 4.4. *If X is ample and logarithmic smooth over an affine open subvariety $U = \operatorname{Spec} A_U$ of $\mathbf{A} = \operatorname{Spec} A$, and the class $[X] \in \mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P} \setminus E)$ is not divisible by the characteristic of k, then for $0 \leq p \leq n-1$, there is a natural isomorphism of A_U-modules*

$$H^{n-p-1}(\mathbf{P}_U, \omega^{p+1}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log X)) \simeq A_U \otimes_A R^{[(n-p)X-D]}_{X/\mathbf{A}}.$$

We show some lemma for the proof of Theorem 4.4.

Lemma 4.5. *The following diagram is commutative;*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P} \setminus E)^* \arrow[r, "{[X]}"] \arrow[d, "\gamma^*"'] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}} \arrow[d, "F"] \\ \bigoplus_{\rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{P}_\rho) \arrow[r, "(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_\rho})_{\rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1)}"'] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(X), \end{tikzcd}$$

where the map γ is defined in Theorem *3.11.*

Proof. We denote by $F = \sum_e a_e z^e$ the $\mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P})$-homogeneous polynomial defining X, where z^e is the monomial $\prod_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} z^{e_\rho}$ of degree $[X] \in \mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P})$. Let

$\varphi : \mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P} \smallsetminus E) \to \mathbf{Z}$ be a homomorphism. Then the image of $1 \otimes \varphi$ by the map $(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_\rho})_{\rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1)} \circ \gamma^*$ is

$$\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1)} \varphi([\mathbf{P}_\rho]) z_\rho \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_\rho} = \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1)} \varphi([\mathbf{P}_\rho]) \sum_e a_e e_\rho z^e = \sum_e a_e \varphi([\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1)} e_\rho \mathbf{P}_\rho]) z^e.$$

Since $[\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1)} e_\rho \mathbf{P}_\rho] = [X] \in \mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P} \smallsetminus E)$, this is equal to

$$\sum_e a_e \varphi([X]) z^e = \varphi([X]) F,$$

which is the image of $1 \otimes \varphi$ by the map $F \circ [X]$. ☐

Lemma 4.6. *The following diagram is commutative;*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bigoplus_{\rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1)} \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{P}_\rho) \arrow[r, "(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_\rho})_{\rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1)}"] \arrow[d, "\Psi"'] & \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(X) \arrow[d] \\
\omega^{n-1}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}} \arrow[r, "\delta"'] & \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(X)|_X,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the map Ψ *is defined in* Theorem *3.11, and* δ *is defined as the restriction*

$$\omega^{n-1}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}} \longrightarrow \omega^{n-1}_{X/\mathbf{A}} \simeq \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(X)|_X.$$

Proof. We check this on a local affine coordinate $\mathbf{A}_\sigma$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma$. There is a $\mathbf{T}$-invariant divisor $B = \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} b_\rho \mathbf{P}_\rho$ such that $[B] = [X] \in \mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P})$, and $b_\rho = 0$ for $\rho \nsubseteq \sigma$. Let w be a $k[M \cap \sigma^\vee]$-basis of $\Gamma(\mathbf{A}_\sigma, \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}})$, and let χ^{u_ρ} be a $k[M \cap \sigma^\vee]$-basis of $\Gamma(\mathbf{A}_\sigma, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-\mathbf{P}_\rho))$. The image of $w\chi^{-u_\rho} \in \Gamma(\mathbf{A}, \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{P}_\rho))$ by the map $\delta \circ \Psi$ is

$$\frac{w}{f} \sum_{u \in M \cap \sigma^\vee} a_u \langle u, v_\rho \rangle \chi^{u - u_\rho}|_X,$$

where $f = \sum_{u \in M \cap \sigma^\vee} a_u \chi^u$ is the local equation of X. On the other hand, the image of $w\chi^{-u_\rho} \in \Gamma(\mathbf{A}, \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{P}_\rho))$ by the map $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_\rho}$ is

$$\frac{w}{f} \sum_u a_u (\langle u, v_\rho \rangle + b_\rho) \chi^{u - u_\rho} = \frac{w}{f} (\sum_u a_u \langle u, v_\rho \rangle \chi^{u - u_\rho} + b_\rho f \chi^{-u_\rho}),$$

whose restriction to X is $(\delta \circ \Psi)(w\chi^{-u_\rho}) \in \Gamma(\mathbf{A}_\sigma, \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(X)|_X)$. ☐

Proof of Theorem 4.4. In the case $p = n-1$, we have

$$A_U \otimes_A R^{[X-D]}_{X/\mathbf{A}} \simeq A_U \otimes_A S^{[X-D]}_{\mathbf{P}} \simeq H^0(\mathbf{P}_U, \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(X)) \simeq H^0(\mathbf{P}_U, \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log X)).$$

We assume that $0 \leq p \leq n-2$. By Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] \\
H^0(\mathbf{P}_U, \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}((n-p-1)X)) \otimes \mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P} \smallsetminus E)^* \arrow[r, "\alpha_1"] \arrow[d] & H^0(\mathbf{P}_U, \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}((n-p-1)X)) \arrow[d] \\
\bigoplus_{\rho \in \Sigma_\pi(1)} H^0(\mathbf{P}_U, \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}((n-p-1)X + \mathbf{P}_\rho)) \arrow[r, "\alpha_2"] \arrow[d] & H^0(\mathbf{P}_U, \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}((n-p)X)) \arrow[d] \\
H^0(\mathbf{P}_U, \omega^{n-1}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}((n-p-1)X)) \arrow[r, "\alpha_3"] \arrow[d] & H^0(\mathbf{P}_U, \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}((n-p)X)|_X) \arrow[d] \\
0 & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the exactness of the left vertical sequence is shown by Theorem 3.11. By Lemma 4.3 and the vanishing theorem (Corollary 3.8), the cohomology group $H^{n-p-1}(\mathbf{P}_U, \omega^{p+1}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log X))$ appear in the cokernel of the map α_3. Since the cokernel of the map α_2 is $A_U \otimes_A R^{[(n-p)X-D]}_{X/\mathbf{A}}$, we have to show that the map α_1 is surjective. It follows from the assumption that the class $[X] \in \mathrm{Cl}\,(\mathbf{P} \smallsetminus E)$ is not divisible by the characteristic of k. $\square$

Using Theorem 4.4, we have a description for the cohomology of relative logarithmic forms on X.

Corollary 4.7. (1) *For* $0 \leq p \leq \frac{n-3}{2}$,

$$A_U \otimes_A R^{[(n-p)X-D]}_{X/\mathbf{A}} \simeq H^{n-p-1}(X_U, \omega^p_{X/\mathbf{A}}),$$

and for $p = \frac{n-2}{2}$, *there is an exact sequence*

$$H^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbf{P}_U, \omega^{\frac{n}{2}}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}) \longrightarrow A_U \otimes_A R^{[(\frac{n}{2}+1)X-D]}_{X/\mathbf{A}} \longrightarrow H^{\frac{n}{2}}(X_U, \omega^{\frac{n}{2}-1}_{X/\mathbf{A}}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

(2) *If* $\mathbf{A}$ *is nonsingular, and* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-E)$ *is generated by global sections, then for* $\frac{n}{2} \le p \le n-1$,

$$A_U \otimes_A R^{[(n-p)X-D]}_{X/\mathbf{A}} \simeq H^{n-p-1}(X_U, \omega^p_{X/\mathbf{A}}),$$

and for $p = \frac{n-1}{2}$, *there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow A_U \otimes_A R^{[(\frac{n+1}{2})X-D]}_{X/\mathbf{A}} \longrightarrow H^{\frac{n-1}{2}}(X_U, \omega^{\frac{n-1}{2}}_{X/\mathbf{A}}) \longrightarrow H^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(\mathbf{P}_U, \omega^{\frac{n+1}{2}}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}).$$

Proof. This is proved by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega^{p+1}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}} \longrightarrow \omega^{p+1}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(\log X) \longrightarrow \omega^p_{X/\mathbf{A}} \longrightarrow 0$$

and the vanishing theorem (Corollary 3.8 and the next proposition). □

Proposition 4.8. *Let* $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^m$ *be an affine space, let* $\mathbf{P}$ *be a nonsingular toric variety, let* $\pi : \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{A}$ *be a logarithmically smooth proper equivariant morphism, and let* $\mathcal{L}$ *be an invertible sheaf on* $\mathbf{P}$. *If* $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-E))$ *is generated by global sections, then for* $0 \le q \le p-1$,

$$H^q(\mathbf{P}, \omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}} \mathcal{L}) = 0.$$

Proof. We define a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}$-module by $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}}(\mathcal{L}, \omega^{n-p}_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(-E))$. By the duality theorem [8] for the morphism π, there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{n+i}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}}(\mathcal{F}, \pi^! \Omega^m_{\mathbf{A}}) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}}}(\mathbf{R}\pi_* \mathcal{F}, \Omega^m_{\mathbf{A}}).$$

Since $\pi^! \Omega^m_{\mathbf{A}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}(-D-E)$ and $\Omega^m_{\mathbf{A}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{n+i}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}}(\mathcal{F}, \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(-E)) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}}}(\mathbf{R}\pi_* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}}).$$

There is a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{i,j} = \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}}}(R^{-j}\pi_* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}}) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{i+j}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}}}(\mathbf{R}\pi_* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}}).$$

By Corollary 3.8, we have $E_2^{i,j} = 0$ for $-j \ge n-p+1$. Hence

$$H^q(\mathbf{P}, \omega^p_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}} \otimes \mathcal{L}) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^q_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}}}(\mathcal{F}, \omega^n_{\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{A}}(-E)) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^{q-n}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}}}(\mathbf{R}\pi_* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}}) = 0$$

for $n - q \ge n - p + 1$. □

References

[1] V. Batyrev and D. Cox, *On the Hodge structure of projective hypersurfaces in toric varieties.* Duke Math. J. **75** (1994), 293–338.

[2] D. Cox, *The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety.* J. Algebraic Geom. **4** (1995), 17–50.

[3] V. Danilov, *The geometry of toric varieties.* Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 33 (1978), 85–134, 247.

[4] P. Deligne, Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 163. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.

[5] I. Dolgachev, *Weighted projective varieties.* Group actions and vector fields (Vancouver, B.C., 1981), 34–71, Lecture Notes in Math., 956, Springer, Berlin, 1982.

[6] W. Fulton, Introduction to toric varieties. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 131. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[7] P. Griffiths, *On the periods of certain rational integrals. I, II.* Ann. of Math. (2) **90** (1969), 460–495, 496–541.

[8] R. Hartshorne, Residues and duality. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 20 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1966

[9] K. Kato, *Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie.* Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, MD, 1988), 191–224, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989.

[10] M. Mustaţă, *Vanishing theorems on toric varieties.* Tohoku Math. J. (2) **54** (2002), 451–470.

[11] T. Oda, Convex bodies and algebraic geometry. An introduction to the theory of toric varieties. Translated from the Japanese. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), 15. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[12] S. Saito, *Infinitesimal logarithmic Torelli problem for degenerating hypersurfaces in* $\mathbb{P}^n$. Algebraic geometry 2000, Azumino (Hotaka), 401–434, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 36, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002.

[13] J. Steenbrink, *Intersection form for quasi-homogeneous singularities.* Compositio Math. **34** (1977), 211–223.

Graduate School of Science, Osaka University,
Toyonaka, Osaka, 560-0043, Japan
E-mail address: `atsushi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp`